Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number:	5032 SB	Title:	Family & med leave insurance	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Esti	mates				
No Fisca	al Impact				

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
General Fund-State 001-1	690	690	1,380	1,380	1,380
Counties	5,310	5,310	10,620	10,620	10,620
Cities					
Total \$	6,000	6,000	12,000	12.000	12,000

Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impossible to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.	act. Responsibility for expenditu	res may be
Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium of Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.	•	•
Legislative Contact Richard Rodger	Phone: (360)786-7461	Date: 01/11/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/15/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/15/2017
OFM Review	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 9 would amend RCW 49.86.080 to allow the department of employment security to file with the superior court clerk of any county within the state a warrant in the amount of the overpayment assessment plus a filing fee.

Section 17 would amend RCW 49.86.130 to allow an individual who believes that he or she was discharged or otherwise discriminated against by an employer in violation of this section may file a complaint with the commissioner. If the commissioner determines that this section has been violated, the commissioner shall bring an action in the superior court of the county in which the violation is alleged to have occurred. If the commissioner determines that this section has not been violated, the individual may institute the action on his or her own behalf.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

Based on input from the lead agency, employment security department, it is estimated that 25 claims per month would be filed with the superior court. The filing fee is \$20 and the split is 88.5% to county and 11.5% to state. If there are 25 claims per month, that would equal about 300 cases per year. The estimated increased revenue would be \$6,000 (300 x \$20) with \$5,310 remaining with the county and \$690 to the state.

II. C - Expenditures

There is minimal cost to the clerks for the collection of the fee and no cost to the courts for the filing of the warrant. There is no data to determine how many of the cases would require a hearing. It would require 165 hearings to reach \$50,000 in judicial costs.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

City	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact